

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/599,602	06/23/2000	Robert J. Rosko	JPC-007 1459	
70813 GOODWIN PI	7590 12/03/2007 ROCTER LLP		EXAMINER	
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com bhenry@goodwinprocter.com HMCPEAKE@GOODWINPROCTER.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/599,602	ROSKO, ROBERT J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel S. Felten	3694			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the examine that are specifically access as a second of the examine that are specifica	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). iected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 09/599,602

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

1. Prosecution is REOPENED upon recommendation of an Appeal Conference held
October 25, 2007 based upon arguments presented in the Substitute Appeal Brief filed July 21,
2007 regarding the use of Bennet as prior art (see Substitute Brief page 11) instead of the
provisional application 60/190,825 filed upon March 21, 2000. Rejections are submitted below
using the '825 provisional application in response to arguments presented in the Substitute Brief.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebda et al (US 6,385,594) in view of provisional Application 60/190,825 (hereinafter '825).

The claims in reference to Lebda have been all previously addressed in the office action mailed February 07, 2005.

Lebda fails to disclose,

The request to apply is for a plurality of products, and specific information is required to be submitted to apply for each one of the products;

each page is assembled over the network from documents contains at least one field corresponding to the specific information required to apply for one of the products.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,602

Art Unit: 3694

The fields displayed by a plurality of documents are specific information required to be submitted to apply for each one of a plurality of products.

The applicant has asserted that '825 does not support the above teaching, particularly that '825 does not support the teaching of credit analysis that differs as a function of the item for which the loan is sought (see Substitute Brief, page 12). The examiner disagrees the applicant's narrow interpretation of the provisional invention. It is submitted that the AutoAfford platform precisely teaches credit analysis that differs as a function of the item for which the loan is sought because it provides "real-time, multi (emphasis added) or single lender loan qualification." (see page 1, second paragraph). It is submitted that credit analysis is used as part of the screening process and provides the buyer with multiple lender choices. It is well known in the art, whether buying different types of cars or houses, that multiple lenders structure comparable (but different) loans based upon the consumers credit report. It is also submitted in relation to AutoAfford that the processing of pre-selected vehicles can represent a range of different type of vehicles or items associated with a vehicle. For example, there may be a difference between loan structure based upon a fully loaded vehicle and one that is not, or a Lexus and a Ford mustang.

Thus it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to combine the teachings of Lebda relating to presenting and accepting a credit application over a network to including the teachings of '825 because for the potentially different types of credit wherein affordability would be a factor for structuring various types of credit.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,602

Art Unit: 3694

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3694

DSF 10/25/2007